

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF ALEXANDRIA COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

V



ORDER

This , 2014, came the Attorney for the Commonwealth and the defendant, (dob , who stands indicted for a felony and a misdemeanor,

to-wit: Count 1: Disregard Police Command to Stop and Count 2: Driving on a Suspended License, appeared in person and came also Kimberly Stover, his attorney.

The Commonwealth presented argument in support of a motion to admit the defendant's DMV transcript, and after hearing the argument of counsel, the motion was granted.

The defendant presented argument in support of his motion to permit the testimony from an absent witness, and after hearing the argument of counsel, the motion was granted.

Whereupon, the accused was arraigned and after private consultation with his said attorney, pleaded NOT GUILTY to Disregard Police Command to Stop as charged in Count 1 and NOT GUILTY to Driving on a Suspended License as charged in Count 2, which plea was tendered by the accused in person.

The Court then impanelled twenty qualified jurors, free from exception for the trial of the defendant, in the manner provided by law. Whereupon, the Attorney for the Commonwealth and the attorney for the defendant each alternately exercised their rights to strike the names of four veniremen from the panel, as provided by law, and the remaining twelve jurors, constituting the



jury for the trial of the defendant, were duly sworn.

After opening statements, the Court and jury heard the evidence presented by the Commonwealth and the defendant. At the conclusion of the Commonwealth's evidence the attorney for the defendant moved the Court to strike the evidence for reasons stated in the record, which motion was overruled by the Court.

The Court and the jury heard further evidence and at the conclusion of all of the evidence, the defendant renewed his motion to strike which motion was denied.

After hearing the evidence, the instructions of the Court and argument of counsel, the jurors were sent to the jury room to consider their verdict. They subsequently returned their verdict in open court, in the following words:

"We, the Jury, find the defendant, NOT GUILTY of disregarding police signal to stop after having received an audible or visible signal as charged in the indictment."

Date:



Foreperson:



"We, the Jury, find the defendant, Gregory Gardner, NOT GUILTY of eluding police as charged in the indictment."

Date:



Foreperson:



"We, the Jury, find the defendant, Gregory Gardner, NOT GUILTY of unlawfully driving on a suspended driver's license first offense as charged in the indictment."

Date:



Foreperson:



Thereupon, the jury was discharged. It is considered by the Court that this defendant stands acquitted of the charges in accordance with the verdict of the jury.



The Court certifies that at all times during the trial of this case the defendant was personally present and his attorney was likewise personally present and capably represented the defendant.

And the defendant is allowed to depart.

